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Abstract

Compulsory treatment is regulated by the Care of Alcoholics and Drug Abusers Act (LVM; 1988:870), and aims, among other things at motivating addicts to treatment. Research has, up till now, mostly disregarded the client-perspective on compulsory measures. This study has its starting point in the experiences of 54 alcohol-/drug addicts. The intention is to describe and analyse their view on coercive care and the possibilities to become motivated for change and/or voluntary treatment in such a context.

A central question during the semi-structured interviews (which took place at five different institutions for compulsory commitments) is the addicts’ problem recognition, desire for help and willingness to participate in treatment. These dimensions are also illuminated quantitatively by use of a questionnaire. The results of this questionnaire form the basis of a division of the addicts into three groups with varying degree of treatment motivation. Comparisons between the groups are done with reference to the evaluation of present treatment context and of the institutions’ efforts to enhance motivation. In addition to this the groups are compared regarding how they perceive limitations in their freedom of action.

The three groups had different motivational structures. The “least motivated” stated that the addiction was not their major problem and wanted no help with that aspect of their lives. The “middle-group” claimed to have alcohol-/drug problems that, however, were not too serious. They were not sure that the coercive care was adequate for their situation. The “most motivated” said that they had vast problems with their addiction, were help seeking and content with having the chance to participate in any kind of treatment program at all. Fewer differences between the groups were noticeable when it came to a direct evaluation of compulsory treatment. The majority reported that they, through the coercion, had been exposed to violations of their autonomy. Whether motivated for treatment or not, the interviewees were also sceptical about the possibilities of the institutions to enhance motivation among the incarcerated addicts.

In the study, addiction and motivation for change/treatment is furthermore discussed from the perspective of rational choice and motive theory. It is concluded that committed addicts think and act strategically in order to maximise their personal use of involuntary incarcerations. It is also asserted that their view on what happens during commitment, in some respects, is opposed to the “establishment’s”. For instance, the addicts claim that you don’t become motivated by way of compulsory treatment, while the opposite of their notion form the basis of the legislation.